

# CHAPTER 4 Transportation

As part of the City of Monroe's SEPA Programmatic SEIS evaluation of probable impacts relating to the 2024 Comprehensive Plan Update and associated Transportation System Plan, this chapter describes transportation within the study area and assesses potential impacts associated with the Proposed Action and No Action Alternative.

This Final SEIS Chapter 4 is the updated *Transportation* chapter. Note that in the Draft SEIS, *Transportation* was Chapter 7. Updates to the Draft SEIS were necessary because between the Draft SEIS and the Final SEIS, the City's Transportation System Plan (City of Monroe 2024a) and Monroe Trails Master Plan (City of Monroe 2024d) were completed and were therefore considered in the Final SEIS analysis.

# 4.1 Affected Environment

Transportation in the City of Monroe is described below for the following topics: principal arterials, minor arterials, collectors, and local roads; traffic volumes; traffic operations; traffic safety; freight and passenger rail traffic; pedestrian and bicycle facilities; and transportation demand management.

## 4.1.1 Methodology

The following description of the affected environment is based on:

- City of Monroe 2015 Comprehensive Plan, Transportation Element.
- City of Monroe 2023–2028 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).



- Transportation system geographic information system (GIS) data provided by the City of Monroe, including roadway functional classifications, walk and bicycle facilities, and freight routes.
- Weekday PM peak period (4 to 6 p.m.) traffic volumes collected by the firm IDAX Data Solutions at key intersections throughout Monroe in June 2022.
- Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) Collision Reports for the period January 1, 2018, to December 31, 2022.
- Weekday PM peak period (4 to 6 p.m.) roadway travel speed data collected by INRIX on all arterial and collector roadways within Monroe in spring 2024 (INRIX 2024).

### 4.1.2 Regulatory Setting

The following regulations, plans, and policies apply to transportation.

### **STATE REGULATIONS**

- RCW 36.70A.070(6) Comprehensive Plans Mandatory Elements sets requirements for the City to develop its Transportation Element to address the motorized and nonmotorized transportation needs of the City of Monroe. It represents the City's policy direction for the next 20 years.
- Chapter 36.70A RCW Growth Management Act (GMA) now requires jurisdictions to develop a multimodal transportation system based on regional priorities and coordinated with county and City comprehensive plans. GMA also directs jurisdictions to incorporate the following items into their local comprehensive plans:
  - Multimodal Level of service (LOS) standards that are consistent with state and regional transportation plans that reflect community goals for multimodal transportation facilities.
  - A minimum of 10-year forecast that reflects capacity needs based on land use assumptions.
  - Needs projection consistent with state and local system needs to meet current and future demands.
  - Active transportation component that identifies pedestrian and bicycle facilities and promotes healthy lifestyles.
  - Improve the safety and efficiency of freight movement and reduce the impacts on other travel modes to support economic development.
  - Environmental protections including pollution mitigation strategies and wildlife habitat restoration and protection.



- Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategies including ridesharing, vanpooling, bicycling, walking and use of public transportation, efficient parking, and land use policies.
- Future funding analysis for new facilities and maintenance based on projected revenues.
- Multi-year financing plan based on the needs identified in the comprehensive plan.
- Shortfall strategy to fund adopted levels of service.
- Intergovernmental coordination based on countywide planning policies.

# **REGIONAL REGULATIONS**

- Puget Sound Regional Council's VISION 2050 (PSRC 2020) is the region's plan for growth. It provides a structure for consideration of transportation issues for freight, roads, transit, bicycles, and walking across Puget Sound to support the regional growth strategy. A key concept of VISION 2050 is the need to link the decision-making processes of regional and local growth centers. VISION 2050 also recognizes the environmental and climate challenges created by transportation infrastructure and supports energy-efficient, sustainable, and safe transportation options. Finally, VISION 2050 emphasizes pursuing a range of funding options to address transportation needs.
- **Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) 2022–2050** (PSRC 2022) is the long-range transportation plan for the central Puget Sound region and is developed by PSRC. The RTP is adopted every 4 years and is the transportation plan to implement VISION 2050. Transportation projects included in the RTP that support travel to and from Monroe are:
  - Centennial Trail South (Project 2842) Shared use path between the City of Snohomish southern boundary and King County.
  - Snoqualmie Valley Trail (Project 2822) Shared use trail between City of Snohomish eastern City limits and King County line.
  - SR 522 at Paradise Lake Road Intersection Improvements (Project 4257) – Construction of intersection improvements with pedestrian and bicycle facilities.
  - SR 522 Paradise Lake Road to Snohomish River Widening (Project 1698) – Widen to a four-lane divided highway with pedestrian and bicycle improvements. Complete construction of SR 522/Fales Road-Echo Lake Road interchange.
  - US 2 Bickford to Monroe (Project 4176) Widen to four lanes.



- US 2 Monroe Bypass Phase 1 (Project 5444) Construct a two-lane SR 522 extension to the north and terminate the road at Chain Lake Road to connect to the local street system.
- US 2 Monroe to City of Sultan (Project 4177) Widen the corridor to four lanes (PSRC 2022).
- Snohomish Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs) are required by GMA to be prepared by Snohomish County in collaboration with cities and tribes as a framework for developing consistent comprehensive plans. Snohomish County Tomorrow (SCT), a cooperative public, inter-jurisdictional forum comprising the county's cities and tribal governments, oversees developing, reviewing, and implementing these CPPs. The county's CPPs help ensure consistent transportation planning and implementation across jurisdictions. The jurisdictions' transportation elements are developed to reflect the CPPs' guidance and requirements.
- Snohomish County planning policies emphasize а coordinated and efficient transportation system that minimizes impacts on the climate and employs adaptive management strategies to meet the varied growth patterns throughout the county. The countywide planning goals also echo the state and regional perspective of establishing multimodal transportation connectivity between residential areas and growth centers. Snohomish County suggests that the countywide planning policies "are intended to guide transportation planning by the County and cities in Snohomish County and to provide the basis for regional coordination with the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT), the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC), and transportation operating agencies" (Snohomish County 2011).

### LOCAL REGULATIONS

**City of Monroe LOS Standards** are based on the requirements of Washington's GMA and consistent with regional and state planning. Monroe sets LOS standards for arterial, collector, and local streets (not state highways). Prior to Monroe's 2024 Comprehensive Plan Update, the City of Monroe had based its roadway LOS standard on intersection-based operations. However, as part of the 2024 Comprehensive Plan Update, the City adopted an updated LOS based on roadway travel speed. These updated roadway LOS standards maintain a LOS C standard for collectors, and LOS D for arterials (see **Figure 4-1**). The roadway LOS values are based on percent of posted speed limit, as summarized in **Table 4-1**.





### FIGURE 4-1 Functional Classification of the Road System

Roadway Type	Percent of Posted Speed	Example Speed Threshold for 25 mph Signed Roadway
LOS A	>85%	> 21.3 mph
LOS B	67%-85%	16.8 mph-21.3 mph
LOS C	50%-67%	12.5 mph-16.8 mph
LOS D	40%-50%	10 mph-12.5 mph
LOS E	30%-40%	7.5 mph-10 mph
LOS F	<30%	<7.5 mph

# TABLE 4-1Monroe Roadway LOS based on posted speedlimit

Note: based on Exhibit 16-4 in Highway Capacity Manual,  $7^{th}$  Edition (Transportation Research Board 2022).

- WSDOT sets the vehicular LOS standards for the highways of statewide significance (HSS), which in Monroe are US 2 and SR 522. For non-HSS facilities, like SR 203, the state requires that an agency coordinate with WSDOT in establishing an LOS standard for those facilities. PSRC has determined that SR 203 is a highway of regional significance and designates the LOS standard. The current LOS standard for all state routes within the City is LOS D.
- Monroe is also adopting a pedestrian and bicycle LOS standard scale of green (good), orange (acceptable), and red (poor). Both pedestrian and bicycle LOS measure the presence of active transportation facilities against the planned networks as defined in the Transportation System Plan.

# 4.1.3 Arterials and Collectors

**Figure 4-1** shows the existing roadway functional classification system for transportation facilities in the study area. Three major, state-controlled highways (principal arterials) serve Monroe: US 2, SR 522, and SR 203. US 2 provides the major east-west regional connection between Monroe and Everett to the west and to Sultan, Stevens Pass, and beyond to the east. SR 203 provides a north-south connection to Duvall and SR 522 provides northeast-southwest connection to Woodinville, Bothell, and north Seattle. Within Monroe, US 2, SR 522, and SR 203 are classified as principal arterials. Main Street/Old Owen Road, Fryelands Boulevard, a portion of Chain Lake Road, N Kelsey Street, and Woods Creek Road are classified as minor arterials. Several collector streets provide connectivity between the City's principal and minor arterials and its local roads. The collectors also provide vital connectivity between the City's residential areas and central business district and are

CHAPTER 4. TRANSPORTATION

therefore candidates for improvements to active mode facilities. Other roads in Monroe are local roads.

### 4.1.4 Traffic Volumes

Traffic levels in the City have increased in most areas since completion of the 2015 Transportation Plan commensurate with growth in housing and jobs. A comparison of 2022 traffic volumes with 2015 Transportation Plan traffic levels shows that weekday PM peak hour traffic growth was largest at intersections north of US 2, with the largest increase in weekday PM peak hour traffic volumes occurring at the intersection of Chain Lake Road and Kelsey Street (where traffic increased by approximately 5 percent per year for a total of over 50 percent in 8 years). This growth is the result of residential development north of the City. Little to no growth was shown at intersections along 179th Avenue. Overall traffic volume on US 2, SR 203, and SR 522 has changed less than 2 percent per year since the 2015 Transportation Plan.

# 4.1.5 Traffic Operations

Existing roadway LOS was calculated by gathering roadway traffic speed data from INRIX, a third-party traffic data source that captures travel time data using connected vehicle, location-based service (cell phone application) data, and private trucking fleet Global Positioning System (GPS) data (INRIX 2024). Data were summarized during the weekday PM peak hour for Tuesdays, Wednesdays, and Thursdays during spring 2024. Existing roadway LOS was developed based on these roadways speeds and is summarized in **Figure 4-2**.

As shown in **Figure 4-2** existing PM peak hour vehicular roadway LOS across all collectors and arterials within Monroe meets or exceeds LOS C, indicating no LOS deficiencies.





FIGURE 4-2 Existing PM Peak Hour Roadway Level of Service

# 4.1.6 Traffic Safety

Citywide collision records were reviewed to identify potential safety issues for vehicles, pedestrians, and cyclists. The traffic safety analysis included collision data for a 5-year period from January 1, 2018, through December 31, 2022. Of collisions in Monroe during that time period, two were reported fatalities, one fatality along US 2 and the other fatality along SR 522. The number of collisions occurring along City roads are generally low to moderate, compared to a higher number of collisions occurring along state routes in the City, which have higher vehicle volumes. **Figure 4-3** shows the locations of collisions in the City and highlights pedestrian and bicycle crashes, fatalities, and serious injuries. Most pedestrian crashes are in the downtown area where there is more pedestrian activity.

# 4.1.7 Transit Service

Community Transit provides transit service throughout the City of Monroe. Community Transit offers bus, paratransit, vanpool, and commuter services. Bus routes 270, 271, and 424 travel through the City. Bus routes 270, a local route, and 271, a Boeing route, provide service between Gold Bar and Everett via US 2, with average headways or the amount of time between buses arriving at a stop, of approximately 60 minutes. Bus route 424 is a commuter route with limited service of two trips from Monroe to Seattle in the morning, and two trips from Seattle to Monroe in the afternoon. Route 424 travels between the cities of Snohomish and Seattle and passes through Monroe via US 2, 179th Avenue SE, and SR 522, with average headways ranging from 75 to 90 minutes.

Transit routes, hours of operation, and recent ridership data available from Community Transit are summarized in **Table 4-2**.





### FIGURE 4-3 5-Year (2018-2023) Collision Summary



Route Number	Description	Hours of Operations	Average Daily Ridership <sup>a</sup>
270	Gold Bar to Everett	Weekdays Only (5:45 a.m5:30 p.m.)	690
271	Gold Bar to Everett	Weekdays (6:015 a.m.– 10:01 p.m.)	920
		Saturdays (7:05 a.m.– 9:29 p.m.)	
		Sundays (7:05 a.m 9:28 p.m.)	
424	Snohomish to Seattle	Weekdays Only (3:37 p.m6:47 p.m.)	955

#### TABLE 4-2 Community Transit Routes Serving Monroe

SOURCE: Community Transit, Schedule accessed April 2024 https://www.communitytransit.org/maps-and-schedules.

a. Represents average daily ridership for May 2023 provided by Community Transit.

Transit operations are out of the City's direct control; however, Monroe has influence over the transportation network that Community Transit serves. The 2015 Transportation Plan defined transit LOS based on the amenities and access provided along corridors with transit. The City defines LOS for transit using a green, yellow, and red rating, where yellow is the target minimum LOS standard. Ways in which the green transit LOS standard can be reached include providing a high level of transit-supportive amenities at major stops; installing sidewalks and marked crosswalks at all stops; and attracting frequent, all-day transit service. The yellow standard includes some transit stop amenities, sidewalks, and marked crosswalks at some stops, and all-day service with headways of 30 minutes or less during the peak hour and 60 minutes or less during midday. Monroe has made progress towards this LOS standard with the sidewalks and connectivity of the transportation network along corridors served by transit; however, the City has not achieved the desired headways.

Community Transit updated its Journey 2050 Long Range Plan in December 2023. The plan provides a vision for future transit improvements in the Community Transit service area. Improvements include increased frequency of fixed-route service within Monroe and providing mobility options like on-demand service (Community Transit 2023).

# 4.1.8 Freight and Passenger Train Traffic

The Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) rail line bisects the City of Monroe, generally running parallel to US 2, with five at-grade crossings located at Fryelands Boulevard, 170th Avenue SE, Kelsey Street, Lewis Street, and E Main Street. Both freight and passenger trains operate on the tracks. Crossing closures frequently result in extended periods of delay at intersections and gridlock before the roadway network can return to normal operations after the crossing gates have raised. The crossing locations are also located along primary emergency response routes for the City. Rail traffic impacts on mobility within the City present an ongoing issue as north-south movement cannot proceed while trains pass. With populations expected to increase, so is the passenger rail service. Rail service through the City of Monroe is part of the Strategic Rail Corridor Network (STRACNET) designation, established by the Department of Defense and the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) to ensure rail transportation readiness capabilities during a time of need (FRA 2023).

# 4.1.9 Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities

**Figure 4-4** illustrates the existing pedestrian and bicycle facilities within the City. Most roadways in Monroe offer access to sidewalks or shared-use pathways. Many of the City's signalized or roundabout-controlled intersections provide crosswalks. Annual sidewalk improvements and removal of barriers to accessibility are a specific goal for the City. The City completed an Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) self-evaluation and transition plan for pedestrian facilities in the public rights-of-way in 2021.

Compared to pedestrian facilities, bicycle-only facilities are less present in the City; however, many sidewalks and shared use paths are wide enough to accommodate bicycle traffic. Dedicated bike lanes are present on Main Street, 154th Street, and 179th Avenue.





### FIGURE 4-4 Existing Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities

### **PLANNED PEDESTRIAN NETWORK**

The City has established a planned pedestrian network that includes a fully built-out system of on- and off-street facilities across the City. The planned network contains a series of primary and secondary routes. Corridors identified as primary or secondary routes are used to make a distinction between routes that are more regional or that extend completely through the community (primary), and those that serve to make the second leg of the journey to connect to destinations, extend into neighborhoods, or complete a loop (secondary).

The planned pedestrian network, shown on **Figure 4-5**, provides a comprehensive network of pedestrian facilities for Monroe. The Transportation System Plan (part of the Monroe 2024 Comprehensive Plan Update) shows the interconnected system of on-road and off-road facilities, which include sidewalks, pathways, and shared-use trails. The system is designed to facilitate pedestrian travel to key destinations within Monroe where higher pedestrian demand is expected, such as routes connecting residential areas to recreational facilities and schools, downtown, and the parks.





#### FIGURE 4-5 Planned Pedestrian Network



## **PEDESTRIAN LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARD**

Pedestrian LOS standards were developed based on the future primary and secondary on- sidewalk, pathway, and trail system. This pedestrian system was first identified in coordination with the City. The LOS standards are shown in **Figure 4-6** and emphasize the system's completion of sidewalks, pathways, or multi-use trails on arterial and collector roadways. The LOS designations are shown in green, orange, and red.

- A green LOS (the standard) indicates a facility meets adopted roadway standards and has facilities on both sides of the street for primary routes, while a secondary facility may only have facilities on one side of the street.
- An orange LOS (acceptable) indicates a primary route has facilities on only one side of the roadway, when both sides or a shared use path would be preferred.
- A red LOS indicates no designated facilities are provided for pedestrians and is considered unacceptable.

LOS	Primary Route	Secondary Route
	Meets City standards, facilities on both sides	Meets City standards, facilities on one or both sides
	Facilities exist, but only on one side	N/A
	No facilities exist, does not meet standards	No facilities exist, does not meet standards

#### FIGURE 4-6 Active Transportation Levels of Service Overview

The City utilizes these standards to prioritize investments in the pedestrian transportation network and identify where gaps need to be addressed to comply with the City's 2024 Comprehensive Plan Update and FLUM.

### **PLANNED BICYCLE NETWORK**

The City has also established a planned bicycle network that includes a fully built-out system of on- and off-street facilities across the City. Corridors identified as primary or secondary routes are used to make a distinction between routes that are more regional or that serve to make the second leg of the journey, respectively. The planned bicycle network, shown on **Figure 4-7**, provides a comprehensive network of bicycle facilities for Monroe. The network shows the interconnected system of on-road and off-road facilities, which include on- and off-street bike facilities including dedicated







bike lanes, shared bike facilities, and multi-use trails. The system is designed to facilitate bicycle travel to key destinations within Monroe where higher active transportation demand is expected, such as routes connecting residential areas to recreational facilities, schools, and parks.

### **BICYCLE LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARD**

The bicycle LOS standards are based on the presence of bike facilities on primary or secondary corridors within the designated bicycle network within Monroe. Bike facilities include dedicated bike lanes, protected bike lanes, or multi-use paths within the roadway right-of-way. A green LOS (the standard) means that bike facilities that meet City design standards are present. An orange LOS (acceptable) is exclusively for off-road trails where a currently unpaved path is planned for being paved. A red LOS (poor) indicates a lack of dedicated bicycle facility. Monroe utilizes these bike LOS standards to prioritize investments in the bicycle transportation network and identify where significant gaps in the system need to be addressed to serve the City's plans.

## 4.1.10 Transportation Demand Management

Transportation demand management is focused on reducing reliance on single-occupant vehicles. The 2015 Transportation Plan has a policy for requiring new development to include site and building features that support alternative modes of transportation. The City also has a Commute Trip Reduction (CTR) program, which is outlined in Chapter 22.88 Monroe Municipal Code (MMC). The goal of the program is to reduce drive alone vehicle trips and vehicle miles traveled for work. The City's CTR program outlines a range of strategies for employers to implement such as:

- Identifying an employee transportation coordinator.
- Distributing commuter information to employees.
- Preferred vanpool and carpool parking.
- Subsidies for transit, vanpools, and other non-drive alone modes.
- Flexible work schedules and telecommuting.
- Bicycle parking/lockers, showers, and other amenities on-site.
- Charging employees for parking.

Currently, the City of Monroe is eligible for Vanpool services provided by Community Transit. Vanpools must consist of at least three riders and can accommodate up to 12 riders per vanpool group, including the driver. Users pay a fare based on the daily



round trip miles and the size of the van used. Community Transit supplies the van, fuel, insurance costs, and any highway tolls.

A park-and-ride facility with a capacity of 102 parking stalls is available on US 2, west of the Evergreen State Fair Park. The facility is owned by WSDOT and operated by Community Transit and offers direct access to bus routes 270, 271, and 424.

# 4.1.11 Air Transportation

Aviation in Monroe is accommodated by First Air Field, a privately owned airport adjacent to the Evergreen State Fairgrounds that is available for public use. First Air Field is not listed in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS) (FAA 2023) and according to WSDOT it is classified as a community airport (WSDOT, n.d.).

# 4.2 Potential Impacts

This section evaluates the impacts of the alternatives on transportation. The No Action Alternative and the Proposed Action are assessed within the context of the Affected Environment.

# 4.2.1 Impact Assessment Methodology and Thresholds of Significance

Impacts of the alternatives were assessed based on future traffic forecasts. The Monroe Travel Demand Model was developed in 2022 and is used as a basis for the 2024 Comprehensive Plan Update and the 2024 Transportation System Plan to determine future traffic forecasts. The model accounts for the number of households and employees within the City and converts those into weekday PM peak hour trips. These trips were then converted to travel modes and allocated to City roadways to understand overall impacts on the transportation system for the No Action Alternative and the Proposed Action. The base year of the Monroe Travel Demand Model represents 2022 conditions, and the future horizon year represents 2050 conditions. Land use information (existing and future) was provided by the City as part of its land use planning efforts, which also included coordination with the PSRC land use datasets.

Both alternatives would implement demand management strategies, similar to existing conditions, including the CTR program.

With the adoption of the 2024 Comprehensive Plan Update, the City will implement the multimodal LOS standard for evaluating impacts to comply with new state requirements. Under Washington law, LOS



standards can be applied in two areas: State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) review and concurrency management. It is possible to have different LOS standards and methodologies for SEPA review completed for developments and concurrency management.

The City of Monroe's LOS standards under SEPA will be vehiclebased intersection operations for local streets and state routes. The City may adopt intersection LOS standards for SEPA that are different from current standards; however, for state routes the current WSDOT LOS D standard will continue to be applied.

The No Action Alternative and Proposed Action are evaluated against the updated multimodal LOS standard and the WSDOT LOS D standard for state routes to assess impacts.

The following will apply to WSDOT intersections when future development is proposed:

- Where the LOS prior to development is D or better, attempts to maintain LOS D shall be undertaken.
- Where the LOS prior to development is E, the state will request that LOS E be maintained after development.
- Where the LOS prior to development is F, the state will request mitigation measures so that one of the following is true:
  - a) The estimated delay for signalized intersections is no worse than pre-development conditions;
  - b) The reserve capacity for non-signalized intersections is no worse than pre-development conditions; or
  - c) The volume-to-capacity ratio for segments is no worse than pre-development conditions.

The SEPA LOS standard applied to local streets will be evaluated using site specific traffic studies to understand impacts of future development proposals and is not included in this programmatic SEPA assessment of impacts of the 2024 Comprehensive Plan Update alternatives.

Monroe's transit LOS is defined based on the amenities and access provided along corridors with transit. The City defines LOS for transit using green, yellow, and red gradings. Green transit LOS standard can be reached by providing a high level of the transit supportive amenities at major stops, installing sidewalks and

marked crosswalks at all stops, and attracting frequent, all day transit service. The yellow standard, which is the minimum target, includes some transitstop amenities, sidewalks, and marked crosswalks at some stops, and all-day service with headways of 30 minutes or less during the peak hour and 60 minutes or less during midday. No threshold for air traffic is provided because First



Air Field is a private facility. Neither alternative is expected to result in changes in air travel or traffic.

For the purposes of this SEIS, thresholds of significance for transportation impacts include:

- **Roadway Travel Speed:** The alternative would result in a decrease in roadway travel speed to a speed below the adopted LOS standard.
- **Traffic Safety:** The alternative would result in increased potential for traffic safety issues.
- **Transit LOS:** The alternative would not meet the "yellow" LOS standard for transit.
- **Rail Traffic:** The alternative would result in increased rail traffic such that new, unplanned infrastructure would be required.
- **Pedestrian and Bicycle Traffic:** The alternative would not meet pedestrian and bicycle LOS standards.

### 4.2.2 Impacts Common to Both Alternatives

This section describes impacts that would occur under each alternative. Traffic volumes would increase. The City recently developed a multimodal level of service standard that incorporates corridor travel time to assess roadway vehicular performance. With increases in traffic volumes, travel times will also increase without implementation of transportation improvements. Also under each alternative, the potential for conflicts between modes, vehiclevehicle, vehicle-pedestrian, and vehicle-bicycle, increases. Specific traffic volume and operations impacts are discussed for each alternative in Section 4.2.3 and Section 4.2.4.

Freight and passenger rail traffic regionwide is expected to increase in the future, which could result in an increase in rail traffic at atgrade rail crossings. The growth in Monroe housing and jobs under each alternative would not result in freight traffic increases.

Each alternative would have impacts on the pedestrian and bicycle facilities unless sidewalk, trail, and other pedestrian and bicycle facility improvements are implemented. Specific impacts are discussed in Section 4.2.3 and Section 4.2.4.



# 4.2.3 Impacts of the No Action Alternative

This section describes the impacts of the No Action Alternative.

### **TRAFFIC VOLUMES**

As described previously, future No Action Alternative 2050 traffic forecasts were developed using the City's Travel Demand Model. **Table 4-3** shows the No Action Alternative weekday PM peak hour trip generation and vehicle miles traveled (VMT) compared to existing conditions. VMT is a measure of all the miles traveled by cars and trucks in the City of Monroe during the weekday PM peak hour. As shown in the table, the anticipated growth in jobs and housing within Monroe and the surrounding area with the No Action Alternative results in a 34 percent increase in weekday PM peak hour trips and 25 percent increase in VMT as compared to existing conditions.

# TABLE 4-3Comparison of Existing and No Action<br/>Alternative Trips and Vehicle Miles Traveled

	Existing	No Action Alternative	Percent Increase <sup>a</sup>
Weekday PM Peak Hour Trips	12,353	16,547	34%
Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)	40,625	50,972	25%

SOURCE: City of Monroe Travel Demand Model; Transpo Group 2024 NOTES:

a. Represents the percentage that the No Action Alternative results in an increase compared to existing conditions.

The increase in weekday PM peak hour trips and VMT for the No Action Alternative results in traffic volume increases at the study intersection. The PM peak hour volumes at the study intersections are expected to increase on average by approximately 30 percent under the No Action Alternative compared to existing conditions. There are areas of the City where more growth in jobs and/or housing is anticipated resulting in higher-than-average increases in weekday PM peak hour traffic volumes including 40 to 60 percent for Chain Lake Road and 40 to 50 percent for Wood Creek Road. Fryelands Boulevard is anticipated to have lower than average increases in traffic volumes of 15 to 20 percent. The evaluation of and significance conclusions for traffic operations (below) consider traffic volume increases.



As described previously, the City recently developed a multimodal level of service standard that established minimum travel speeds along select corridors. The travel time metric was used to assess potential impacts and determine transportation needs for the City. With increases in traffic volumes, travel times will also increase without implementation of transportation improvements. The City's travel demand model, traffic simulation model, and existing roadway travel speed data were used to forecast No Action Alternative roadway speed and LOS.

**Figure 4-8** shows the weekday PM peak hour No Action Alternative roadway LOS.

As shown in **Figure 4-8** in comparison to **Figure 4-2**, the No Action Alternative generally results in reduced vehicular travel speeds across all corridors in the City. However, roadway speeds are not forecast to decrease below LOS thresholds on any arterial or collector in Monroe. As a result, the No Action Alternative would result in a **less-than-significant impact** on traffic operations because LOS standards would be met across all arterial and collector roadways within the City.

## TRAFFIC SAFETY

As described in the discussion on traffic volumes, the No Action Alternative results in an over 30 percent increase in weekday PM peak hour trips in the City. It is anticipated with the No Action Alternative the potential for transportation safety issues in the City will increase. The No Action Alternative includes goals and policies focused on improving safety for all road users through street designs that accommodate all travel modes (Monroe 2015 Transportation Plan). The No Action Alternative would result in a **less-than-significant impact** on traffic safety.





### FIGURE 4-8 No Action Alternative, Corridor LOS



### **TRANSIT SERVICE**

The No Action Alternative includes partnering with Community Transit and other transit operators to provide transit stop amenities and safe access to transit at major transit stops and park-and-ride facilities. The No Action Alternative is anticipated to make strides towards achieving a yellow LOS for transit corridors. Although Community Transit has identified increased transit frequency for Monroe, implementation of Community Transit's plans are outside of the City's control; therefore, some corridors could continue to be below the target yellow LOS standard, resulting in a **less-thansignificant impact** on transit service.

### FREIGHT AND PASSENGER RAIL TRAFFIC

The growth in Monroe housing and jobs under the No Action Alternative would not result in freight traffic increases. The No Action Alternative would result in **no impact** on freight and passenger rail traffic.

### **PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE FACILITIES**

Under the No Action Alternative, the pedestrian and bicycle network would be consistent with the 2015 Comprehensive Plan. The 2024 Comprehensive Plan Update and 2024 Transportation System Plan would not be adopted. Pedestrian and bicycle LOS standards would not be met, resulting in a **significant impact** on pedestrian and bicycle facilities.

# 4.2.4 Impacts of the Proposed Action

The impacts of the Proposed Action are assessed against the No Action Alternative based on the methods and thresholds of significance described in Section 4.2.1.

## **TRAFFIC VOLUMES**

As described previously, similar to the No Action Alternative, Proposed Action 2050 traffic forecasts were developed using the City's Travel Demand Model. **Table 4-4** shows the Proposed Action weekday PM peak hour trip generation and VMT compared to the No Action Alternative. As shown in the table, additional growth in land use with the Proposed Action results in 3 percent more weekday PM peak hour trips and 1 percent more VMT compared to the No Action Alternative.



TABLE 4-4 Comparison of No Action Alternative and Proposed Action Trips and Vehicle Miles Traveled

	No Action Alternative	Proposed Action	Percent Increase <sup>a</sup>
Weekday PM Peak Hour Trips	16,547	17,047	3%
Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)	50,972	51,712	1%

SOURCE: City of Monroe Travel Demand Model, Transpo Group, 2024 NOTES:

a. Represents the percentage that the Proposed Action results in an increase compared to the No Action Alternative.

The increase in weekday PM peak hour trips and VMT for the Proposed Action results in traffic volume increases at the study intersection. The PM peak hour volumes at the study intersections are expected to increase on average by approximately 30 percent under Proposed Action compared to existing conditions. The Proposed Action increase in intersection traffic volumes is similar to the No Action Alternative, except for a few instances of slightly higher volume increases due to the additional growth in land use and some lower traffic volume increases due to different areas of the City being developed with the Proposed Action. The Proposed Action increases in traffic volumes on Chain Lake Road are approximately 10 percent more than the No Action Alternative. The 179th Avenue Proposed Action traffic volumes are anticipated to be 10 percent lower than the No Action Alternative. The evaluation of and significance conclusions for traffic operations (below) consider traffic volume increases.

### **TRAFFIC OPERATIONS**

As described previously, the City recently developed a multimodal level of service standard that established minimum travel speeds along select corridors. The travel time metric was used to assess potential impacts and determine transportation needs for the City. With increases in traffic volumes, travel times are also expected to increase without implementation of transportation improvements. The City's travel demand model, traffic simulation model and existing roadway travel speed data were used to forecast Proposed Action roadway speed and LOS.

**Figure 4-9** shows the weekday PM peak hour Proposed Action roadway LOS.





### FIGURE 4-9 Proposed Action, Corridor LOS



As shown in **Figure 4-9**, the Proposed Action would result in reduced vehicular travel speeds across all corridors in the City compared to both existing conditions and the No Action Alternative. However, the roadway LOS standard is only exceeded on US 2 in the westbound direction from the western City limits to SR 522. Without any improvements, the speeds are forecast to decrease to LOS F. As a result, the Proposed Action would result in **a significant impact** on traffic operations because LOS standards are exceeded on US 2 (a classified arterial) within the City.

### **TRAFFIC SAFETY**

The Proposed Action would result in more jobs and housing compared to the No Action Alternative. Although more jobs and housing could result in more vehicle trips and therefore more safety concerns, the proposed pedestrian and bicycle facility improvements with the Proposed Action alternative will also mitigate such safety concerns by providing pedestrian infrastructure as part of the updated Transportation System Plan. The Proposed Action would result in a **less-than-significant impact** on traffic safety.

### **TRANSIT SERVICE**

Impacts on transit service under the Proposed Action would be the same as the No Action Alternative, resulting in a **less-than-significant impact** on transit service.

### FREIGHT AND PASSENGER TRAIN TRAFFIC

Freight and passenger train traffic impacts under the Proposed Action would be the same as the No Action Alternative. The Proposed Action would result in **no impact** on freight and passenger rail traffic.

### **PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE FACILITIES**

The Proposed Action includes improvements to the pedestrian and bicycle network to improve access, connectivity, and safety set forth in the Transportation System Plan, which would be adopted and implemented as part of the 2024 Comprehensive Plan Update. The long-term project list identified in the Transportation System Plan would implement the green LOS for primary and, at a minimum, orange LOS for secondary routes. Given the above method discussed in Section 4.1.9 of calculating LOS for the pedestrian network, **Figure 4-10** shows the resulting pedestrian LOS within Monroe. **Figure 4-11** shows the bicycle LOS under the Proposed Action. Pedestrian and bicycle LOS standards would be met under the Proposed Action. Therefore, a **less-than-significant impact** on pedestrian and bicycle facilities is expected.





FIGURE 4-10 Pedestrian Transportation Network - Level of Service





### FIGURE 4-11 Bicycle Network Level of Service

# 4.2.5 Summary of Impacts

Both alternatives are expected to result in similar impacts, with the intensity of the impacts increasing as population and employment levels increase under the Proposed Action.

The No Action Alternative results in a 34 percent increase in weekday PM peak hour trips and 25 percent increase in VMT compared to existing conditions. The PM peak hour volumes at the study intersections would increase on average by approximately 30 percent compared to existing conditions. The No Action Alternative would result in a less-than-significant impact on traffic operations because LOS standards would not be exceeded on any collector or arterial roadway within the City. Safety issues would accompany increased traffic volumes and worsening LOS; goals and policies focused on improving safety for all travel users and modes would reduce the impacts on traffic safety to a less-than-significant impact. Although the No Action Alternative would support working toward a yellow LOS for transit and Community Transit has identified increased transit frequency for Monroe, some corridors could continue to operate below the target yellow LOS standard, resulting in a less-than-significant impact on transit service.

The growth in housing and jobs under the No Action Alternative would not increase freight traffic; therefore, no impact on rail traffic would occur. The City's new multimodal LOS standard in the Transportation System Plan would not be adopted or implemented, resulting in a significant impact on pedestrian and bicycle facilities.

Under the Proposed Action, additional growth in land use would result in 3 percent more weekday PM peak hour trips and 1 percent more VMT compared to the No Action Alternative. The increase in intersection traffic volumes is similar to the No Action Alternative, except slightly higher volume increases. The Proposed Action would result in a significant impact on roadway speeds (specifically on US 2) due to this increase in delay. Safety issues would accompany increased traffic volumes similar to the No Action Alternative, and goals and policies focused on improving safety for all travel users and modes would reduce the impacts on traffic safety to a lessthan-significant impact. Impacts on transit service and rail traffic under the Proposed Action would be the same as the No Action Alternative, resulting in a less-than-significant impact on transit service and no impact on rail traffic. Impacts of the Proposed Action on the pedestrian and bicycle network would be less-thansignificant due to adoption and implementation of the Transportation System Plan.

# 4.3 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures

Mitigation measures will be needed to support growth while reducing adverse impacts under each alternative. Both alternatives would implement policies that address circulation system classification and design, concurrency standards, transit coordination and improvements, active transportation facilities, financing including transportation impact fees, and joint transportation planning, among other policies.

The No Action Alternative will continue to implement the 2015 Plan while the Proposed Action Transportation includes implementing the revised 2024 Transportation System Plan with new and existing street improvements to enhance traffic flow, multimodal mobility, facilitate development consistent with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan Update Land Use Element, and adoption of a multimodal LOS standard. The 2024 Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element under the Proposed Action would include a comprehensive list of improvement projects and programs to meet the existing forecast transportation needs of the City and reduce adverse impacts on transportation. The multimodal improvements address safety, capacity, trail connections, expanded non-motorized transportation facilities, and roadway preservation needs. They also cover upgrades to existing roads and interconnected street systems to support the forecast economic development and growth in the City and its UGA. The roadway and intersection projects incorporate needs for pedestrians and bicyclists that will use the same corridors.

The City is committed to reassessing their transportation needs and funding sources each year as part of their annual Six-Year TIP. This allows the City to match the financing program with the shorterterm improvement projects and funding. The Transportation System Plan also includes goals and policies to periodically review land use growth, adopted level of service standards, and funding sources to ensure they support one another and meet concurrency requirements.

Demand management strategies would be in place under both alternatives, consistent with current conditions including the CTR program. The goal of demand management strategies is to reduce the number of vehicular trips; therefore, demand management would reduce impacts under both alternatives associated with traffic volumes and operations.

# 4.4 Significant, Unavoidable Adverse Impacts

After implementation of mitigation measures, each alternative is expected to result in significant, unavoidable adverse impacts on transportation.

Future jobs and housing growth under each alternative will result in increased traffic volumes. Under both alternatives, the City would have established levels of service standards that would be met with proposed transportation improvements and programs. Greater mobility through bicycling and walking is anticipated to be achieved with the proposed non-motorized plan, under the Proposed Action. Although congestion under the Proposed Action could be addressed through the mitigation measures presented in Section 4.3, the increase in auto traffic itself is considered a significant unavoidable adverse impact under the Proposed Action. Under the No Action Alternative, a significant unavoidable adverse impact would occur because pedestrian and bicycle LOS standards would not be met. CHAPTER 4. TRANSPORTATION



CITY OF MONROE | 2024-2044 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN PERIODIC UPDATE FINAL SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT | OCTOBER 2024